

C and O stable isotope compositions of speleothems

The plot at right shows the range of C and O stable isotope data from speleothems (mostly stalagmites) from a variety of settings. Things to note include the following:

- 1) Within individual sets of data, as well across the entire plot, the range of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values is greater than the range of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values.
- 2) $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values are almost invariably negative, because speleothems are precipitated from meteoric water that has evaporated from the ocean (where $\delta^{18}\text{O} \approx 0$). The meteoric water thus has $\delta^{18}\text{O} < 0$ relative to the SMOW scale, and CaCO_3 precipitated from that water thus has values of $\delta^{18}\text{O} < 0$ relative to PDB.
- 3) Rain-out effects dictate that $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of speleothems from high altitude and high latitude have exceptionally small values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. The data from the Austrian Alps provide a striking example of the effect of altitude.
- 4) The greatest values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ are from desert regions, probably as the result of evaporation.
- 5) Values of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ are generally negative, reflecting the input of soil CO_2 influenced by plant root respiration and decay of organic matter.
- 6) Values of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ range from very small in regions of lush vegetation (e.g. Indonesia) to positive values in regions of little vegetation (as shown by southern African deserts here).
- 7) Sets of data spanning multiple types of speleothems and/or long times commonly have greater ranges of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. The data shown from Israel and SE France are good examples.
- 8) In the example from northern Spain in gray, lesser vegetation in the cold glacial period than in the warmer period of deglaciation presumably caused the greater values of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in the former. Greater $\delta^{18}\text{O}_w$ of the ocean and colder temperatures in the glacial period than in the period of deglaciation probably caused the greater values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_c$ in the former.

